From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US

**Sent:** 4/27/2012 2:48:24 PM

To: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawlnc.com>

**CC:** Kelley Chase; Richard Fetzer

Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Just to be clear, the reason HW04 will be evaluated by the bacterial ID is for several reasons including the history of bacteria in their well and because they treated their water for pathogens. The possible urea is just one more bit of evidence.

## Rich

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise." Colin Powell

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>

To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US

Date: 04/27/2012 02:45 PM

Subject: RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

## Hi Kelley,

I had a question on the homes that we are proposing for the Bacterial ID analysis which are based upon 1<sup>st</sup> rounds total and fecal coliform data. In our conference call this morning you had noted that HW02, HW04, HW08a, and HW35 met that criteria. Rupert then noted that the the residence would also be samples for bacterial ID based upon a TIC for urea. I had assumed that there would be the 4 homes plus the the sample for bacterial ID, but when I looked at the property table in Scribe and saw that the television residence was HW04. I wanted to confirm that a total of 4 homes will be sampled for bacterial ID.

Thanks,

Suddha Graves **TechLaw, Inc.** 

**From:** Kelley Chase [mailto:Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Friday, April 27, 2012 12:02 PM

To: Graves, Suddha

Cc: Richard Fetzer; Richard Rupert

**Subject:** RE: Proposal for resampling at Dimock

Suddha -

As discussed - additional info for the re-sampling of the 15 locations.

- Kelley

DIM0121525 DIM0121525